## **REMARKS**

This Request for Reconsideration is filed in response to the non-final Office Action of December 21, 2007 in which claims 1-31 were rejected.

It is noted with appreciation that the Examiner has considered applicant's remarks filed in response to the last Office Action to be persuasive and has therefore withdrawn the previous rejection. However, upon further consideration, the Examiner has applied a new ground of rejection in view of *Itsukaichi* (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0248543).

Please note that the *Itsukaichi* reference is inapplicable as a 35 U.S.C. §102(b) reference because its publication date of December 9, 2004 was after the international filing date of September 22, 2004 of the present application. Moreover, the *Itsukaichi* reference fails under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) for the same reason. Furthermore, *Itsukaichi* is inapplicable as a 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) reference as well because of the 35 U.S.C. § 119 priority date of September 29, 2003 of the present application (claiming priority from GB 0322774) filed September 29, 2003, i.e., before the March 25, 2004 U.S. filing date of *Itsukaichi*. Withdrawal of the novelty rejection of claims 1, 13-14, 16-19, and 22 is requested.

Regarding the obviousness rejection of claims 2-12, 14, 20-21 and 23-31, this rejection is also based on *Itsukaichi* as the primary reference. Therefore, the 35 U.S.C. § 102 foundation for this obviousness rejection is likewise lacking. Withdrawal of the obviousness rejection of claims 2-12, 14, 20-21 and 23-31 is requested.

Regarding the obviousness rejection of claim 15 based on *Itsukaichi*, it also is inapplicable because of the filing dates of *Itsukaichi* being after the priority dates of the present application. Withdrawal of the obviousness rejection of claim 15 is requested.

The Examiner has acknowledged receipt of the certified copies of the priority documents on the Office Action summary sheet in numbered paragraph 12. However, applicant also submits herewith a copy of the form PCT/IB/304 to show that the International Bureau received the priority document GB 0322774.1 on October 11, 2004 in compliance with PCT Rule 17.1(a) or (b).

Docket No. 915-002.010 Serial No. 10/573,770

The objections and rejections of the Office Action of December 21, 2007, having been obviated by amendment or shown to be inapplicable, withdrawal thereof is requested and passage of claims 1-31 to issue is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Francis J. Maguire

Attorney for the Applicant Registration No. 31,391

FJM/mo WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON LLP 755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224 Monroe, Connecticut 06468 (203) 261-1234

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### PCT

#### NOTIFICATION CONCERNING SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

10.

PIOTROWICZ, Pawel 20 Little Britain London

1 2 JAN 2005

Greater London EC1A 7DH United Kingdom

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International filing date (day/month/year) 22 September 2004 (22.09.2004)
Priority date (day/month/year) 29 September 2003 (29.09.2003)

#### NOKIA CORPORATION et al

- 1. By means of this Form, which replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents, the applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to all earlier application(s) whose priority is claimed. Unless otherwise indicated by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column or by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
- 2. (If applicable) The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which, on the date of mailing of this Form, had not yet been received by the International Bureau under Rule 17.1(a) or (b). Where, under Rule 17.1(a), the priority document must be submitted by the applicant to the receiving Office or the International Bureau, but the applicant fails to submit the priority document within the applicable time limit under that Rule, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
- 3. (If applicable) An asterisk(\*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b) (the priority document was received after the time limit prescribed in Rule 17.1(a) or the request to prepare and transmit the priority document was submitted to the receiving Office after the applicable time limit under Rule 17.1(b)). Even though the priority document was not furnished in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b), the International Bureau will nevertheless transmit a copy of the document to the designated Offices, for their consideration. In case such a copy is not accepted by the designated Office as priority document, Rule 17.1(c) provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

Priority date

Priority application No.

Country or regional Office or PCT receiving Office

Date of receipt of priority document

29 Sept 2003 (29.09,2003)

0322774.1

GB

11 Octo 2004 (11.10.2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

**Olivier LAPIERRE** 

Facsimile No. (41-22) 338.70.60

Telephone No. (41-22) 338 7109